Weight (Total = 100%)

## DRAFT Stormwater Problem Ranking Criteria (COST AS A FACTOR METHOD) Deerfield Regional Storm Water District

## **RANKING METHODOLOGY**

					TT CIGITE ( TOTAL	- 10070
	A <sub>1</sub>	Benefits to Property Owners / Occupants	1.8	species of	· 000000000000000000000000000000000000	×
		<ul> <li>Number of Properties Directly Benefited by Project</li> </ul>		7.0		
		(e.g., controls flooding, erosion, structural deteriorization)				
		Score (A <sub>1s</sub> )			Weight (A <sub>1w</sub> )*=	20%
		10 if more than 100 beneficiaries		County and the second	10	12 ***
		7 if between 51 and 100 benficiaries				
		5 if between 11 and 50 beneficiaries				8
		3 if between 1 and 10 beneficiaries			925 R	84 D
		0 if no direct beneficiaries				
		and the second control of the second control	ii.			
	A <sub>2</sub>	Number of Properties Using a Benefitting Roadway		•	08 .fz	
	-	Score (A <sub>2s</sub> )		196	Weight (A <sub>2w</sub> ) =	10%
		10 if more than 1,000 beneficiaries (inte	retato	/ limited access highway)	g (1.2w)	1070
		7 if between 501 and 1,000 benficiaries				
		5 if between 101 and 50 beneficiaries (				
		3 if between 1 and 100 beneficiaries (lo	ocai re	badway)		
		o if no direct beneficiaries				
	n	County / France of Assats d Charles I Barrers and a			41	
	В	Severity / Frequency of Averted Structural Damage or Los	S OT I	LITE		
		Score (B <sub>s</sub> ) the unsafes the wanter the result of the		A STATE OF THE STA	Weight (B <sub>w</sub> ) =	25%
		10 Immediate, Direct Threat				
		Frequent Flooding ≥ 2 ft deep				
		7 Immediate, Indirect Threat				
		Infrequent Flooding ≥ 2 ft deep		- Marie -		
		3 Potential, Indirect Threat				
		Infrequent Flooding < 2 ft deep	. 9			
		No Known Threat				
		No Structural Flooding	1:47	facilities and the		
				4.4		
. (	С	Severity / Frequency of Averted Roadway Flooding		r ela a		
		Score (C <sub>s</sub> )			Weight (C <sub>w</sub> ) =	15%
		Non-contraction and the contraction of the contract			Weight (Ow) =	1376
		3				
		7 Infrequent Flooding ≥ 2 ft deep				
		5 Infrequent Flooding < 2 ft deep				
		3 Infrequent Flooding < 8 inches deep		\$ 2580 B		
		0 No Structure Flooding				
		market and the second second				
1	)	Water Quality / Habitat Benefits				
		Score (D <sub>s</sub> )		A service of	Weight $(D_w) =$	5%
		10 Significant Reduction in Pollutant Disc	charg	es / Habitat Degradation		
		5 Moderate Reduction in Pollutant Disc	harge	s / Habitat Degradation		
		0 No Increase in Pollutant Discharges /	Habit	at Degradation		
		-3 Moderate Increase in Pollutant Discha	araes	/ Habitat Degradation		
		-10 Significant Increase in Pollutant Disch				*
		and the property of the proper	•			
E	Ξ	Estimated Cost to District (not total cost)				
		Score (E <sub>s</sub> )			Weight (E <sub>w</sub> ) =	25%
		10 Cost to District <\$10,000			311	''
		7 Cost to District > \$10,000 and ≤ \$25,000		W. Stan		
		5 Cost to District > \$10,000 and < \$25,000				
				and the second		
				The state of the s		
		0 Cost to District > \$250,000			9.75	
_		/4 t4				
Han	IK =	$(A_{1s}^*A_{1w} + A_{2s}^*A_{2w} + B_s^*B_w + C_s^*C_w + D_s^*D_w + E_s^*E_w)$				

## **EXAMPLES**

Sample	Weight: Description	20% A <sub>1</sub>	10% A <sub>2</sub>	25% B	15% C	5% D		COST E	25%	Rank (Max=10)
1	Storm Sewer Collapse (cost-share)	5	5	7	5	0	\$	15,000	7	5.8
2	Storm Sewer Collapse	5	5	7	5	0	\$	30,000	5	5.3
3	Regional Detention	10	10	0	0	10	\$	800,000	0	3.5
4	Backyard Puddle or Poor Ditch Drainage	3	0	0	0	(3)	\$	5,000	10	3.0
5	Failed Headwall	0	3	3	0	0	\$	20,000	7	2.8
6 -	Catch Basin/Pipe Cleaning	0	3	0	0	5	- \$	25,000	7	2.3